## **United States Court of Appeals FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

	No. 03-1849
United States of America,	*
Appellee,	*
	*
V.	<ul><li>* Appeal from the United States</li><li>* District Court for the</li></ul>
Juan Alavid Cortez,	* District of Minnesota.
Appellant.	* [UNPUBLISHED]

Submitted: February 5, 2004

Filed: February 26, 2004

\_\_\_\_\_

Before MELLOY, HANSEN, and COLLOTON, Circuit Judges.

## PER CURIAM.

Juan Alavid Cortez pleaded guilty to conspiring to distribute and possess with intent to distribute approximately 452 grams of a substance containing methamphetamine, and aiding and abetting possession with intent to distribute approximately 452 grams of a substance containing methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(B), and 846, and 18 U.S.C. § 2. The district court¹ sentenced Cortez to concurrent terms of 87 months imprisonment on each count, plus

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Richard H. Kyle, United States District Judge for the District of Minnesota.

concurrent terms of 4 years supervised release. On appeal, counsel has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967).

Construing the <u>Anders</u> brief as challenging the district court's denial of requested sentencing reductions, we find no error in Cortez's sentence. First, the district court did not clearly err in denying Cortez a reduction for being a minor participant, as Cortez admitted he acted as a middleman who arranged the drug deal underlying his conviction. <u>See United States v. Thurmon</u>, 278 F.3d 790, 792 (8th Cir. 2002) (standard of review). The district court also did not clearly err in denying Cortez a reduction under the safety-valve provision. <u>See U.S.S.G.</u> § 2D1.1(b)(6); <u>United States v. Alarcon-Garcia</u>, 327 F.3d 719, 721 (8th Cir. 2003) (standard of review; defendant has burden to show entitlement to safety-valve reduction).

Following our independent review of the record, see <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no nonfrivolous issues. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the district court. We also grant counsel's motion to withdraw.

\_\_\_\_\_